

## **FASST Questions:**

**Number 1:** How do I, as an ACO, determine if final payment has been made on a non DLA-ODO before I close it out?

**Answer:** Whereas on a DLA ODO, DCMC has a deviation from FAR and DFARS Part 4.8 with respect to having to verify final invoice submission and excess funds etc, such a deviation is not in place for non DLA ODOs. The ACO must contact the paying office to determine the status and should be preparing a manual DD Form 1594 in order to provide the PCO with the required information since any PK9 generated out of MOCAS on a non DLA ODO will NOT contain the necessary data.

**Number 2:** We are suppose to be reducing the delinquency rate. Why are you pushing to input all contract deliverables even if DD 250s are not required, into MOCAS. We have enough problem closing out line items that have DD 250s. Coming from a DCMC with a lot of cost type contracts, I totally disagree with this policy. This is going to be a big headache. I need more proof as to how this would be a value added item.

**Answer:** The DCMC IPT stands by the original recommendation to enter all deliverable items. DFAS-CO has concurred with that position. Primarily, DCMC personnel are responsible for tracking delivery and posting performance histories for ALL deliverable line items. We can't perform that mission if the items are not in MOCAS. Secondly, the pending implementation of CLIN level payments on cost reimbursement line items and CLIN level Notebook postings in MOCAS for multiple ACRN payment instructions by DFAS will soon make it imperative those items be in MOCAS.

**Number 3:** If we are suppose to be working on reducing the delinquency rate, why are we encouraging NAVICP and other PLO offices to make contracts destination acceptance? It has always been known that destinations fail to send back signed DD250s. If the DD250 is not returned, then the contract stays in Sec 1 as delinquent and the ACO has no way get it into Sec 2?

**Answer:** First, if receiving activities are using MILSCAP criteria, and most are, there is no requirement for them to return a destination acceptance DD

Form 250. They use a MILSCAP transmission of the destination acceptance in paperless format to update MOCAS. The second question is a non-issue since the premise is incorrect. When a DCMC CAO, as it is required to do, processes a shipped copy of a destination acceptance DD250, delinquencies do NOT occur on that delivery schedule. An acceptance is not required to clear a delinquency in MOCAS. Additionally, DCMC has taken an official position that we should not be receiving administration on Destination acceptance contracts issued by DLA Supply Centers.

**Number 4:** Notice of Completion – is this now or future? What is the web site for your briefing? You indicate person with info inputs this. Who is that person? This is the problem, info hard to obtain. Elimination of “U” will result in bogus dates. I have a contract where neither the PCO nor contractor can give me info. Delivery is 90 days after receipt of Government Furnished Material. Neither knows when the material will be shipped.

**Answer:** The Notice of Completion (NOC) for items not requiring a DD Form 250 will become DCMC policy when the IPT recommendations are published, which is expected in the foreseeable future. DD 250 input personnel will be processing the NOC, but they will be filled out and provided by the person who has the necessary information. That could be a different person (e.g. IS, Engineer, QAR) depending on the circumstances in individual contracts. There will be no change to the impending policy to prohibit the use of “U” as a delivery schedule in MOCAS. Contingency delivery schedules in several areas are well defined in the policy addendum to be published in the One Book and eliminate any need for that usage.

**Number 5:** In some cases, especially repair contracts where assets are received at different times, the need for a “U” may be necessary. When the asset is received, the ACO can then input and exercise more control of the delivery date.

**Answer:** See the answer to 4 above.

**Number 6:** Is there going to be a MOCAS bulletin board in the East, like the West has?

**Answer:** No. DCMDE will continue to use the Information Resource Management Users Council (IRMUC) email network established for dissemination of information pertaining to MOCAS.

**Number 7:** Do FASST personnel spot check such reports as percentage of completion reviews to see if skills are adequate?

**Answer:** No. That is a business process controlled in the individual CAOs, and only personnel engaged in special studies (e.g. PMRs, IOAs, etc.) would check such things. It is not part of the FASST mission.

**Number 8:** Your recommendation is that FDD be firm, not estimated. We have Navy Inventory Control Point (NAVICP) issuing orders against BOAs for repair of spares. These repair order delivery dates are open ended because contractor doesn't have to perform unless item is inducted. ACOs don't know when item is inducted. NAVICP won't give a final delivery date. Should we guess and input our best guess as FDD?

**Answer:** The IPT will not be changing the initial recommendation, which clearly details how to calculate use of a date on these contracts. No guessing is necessary.